

190 ✓

268

8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE 1998 ✓

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.L.DATTU ✓

WRIT PETITION NO:4754/1993 ✓

BETWEEN:

Sri Rachaiah
son of Desaiah
major, 383,
Kantharajurs road
Kuvempunagar
Mysore.

...PETITIONER

(Sri P.T.Srinivasareddy Adv.,) ✓

AND:

1. Secretary
Government of Karnataka
Department of Urban
Development,
M.S.Bldg.,

269
Vidhana Veedhi,
Bangalore-1.

2. Mysore Urban Development,
Authority
J.L.B.Road,
Mysore.

...RESPONDENTS.

(Sri P.S.Manjunatha for R-2.)

Writ Petition filed under Articles
226 and 227 of the constitution of India
with an affidavit praying to Direct the
R2 to consider the case of the petitioner
for promotion as has been done in the case
of others and to pay the scale applicable to
the Daffedar from Jan.1992, with conse-
quential benefits & etc.

NOTE:- I.A.I for Early hearing:

Advocate for the petitioner
has filed this I.A.I praying to
grant an early hearing order.
For the reasons stated therein.

....3.

Writ Petition is coming on for
Orders along with I.A.I. this day the
court made the following:

O R D E R

Petitioner claims that he is
working as a gangman and Literate
Coolie in the office of the second
respondent Mysore Urban Development
Authority right from the year 1973.
According to him the respondents have
regularised his services with effect
from 01.03.1984 in the pay scale of
Rs. 390-550/-.

Petitioner is before this Court
mainly for a direction to the second
respondent authority to promote him to
the next higher post since he is working
as a gangman and Literate Coolie from
the last two decades. He has also


asserted in the writ petition that he has already approached the respondent authorities by making appropriate representation dated 28.08.1998. Since the second respondent authorities did not consider the representation filed by the petitioner inspite of long lapse of time he is before this court.

Whenever an aggrieved person goes before the responsible respondent authorities by making appropriate representation, the responsible respondent authorities should consider the same and pass appropriate orders on the same as expeditiously as possible. Since the same has not been done in the instant case, a direction requires to be issued.

Accordingly petition is disposed of and a direction is issued to the second respondent authorities to consider the

272✓

representation filed by the petitioner
dated 28.08.1992 in accordance with law
as expeditiously as possible at any rate
within six months from the date of receipt
of a copy of this Court's order. Ordered
accordingly.



BKP

c.by 22/8/98

r.by Vbe

Sd/- JUDGE.

COPY

62

Assistant Registrar
High Court of Karnataka
Bangalore-560 001.

21/8/98

